

## REE ACT 2021

### 1) Definition:

1. **Observant:** The five senses of organs which gives continuous feeds of information to the proposed respondents are observants. For example, eye, ear, tongue, nose, and skin.
2. **Respondent:** The other five system which continuously responds the observants and react in a way which helps a body to survive, exist, scalable exist and dominate the circumstances at abundant. For example, brain, limbs, anus, penis, heart.
3. **Bending the energy forms:** The process, forms, bend, use, shape, turns of the energy into the next form which ignites the new form of knowledge and process for the betterment of the scalable existence.
4. **Active mind:** The mind at living stage at any inertia of rest and motion, conscious or subconscious or unconscious, normal (not lunatic).
5. **Mind with Normality:** An active mind with consciousness.
6. **Container/ Contained energy:** The container refers the respondent digestive system which create, hold, maintain the energy in the body with the help of circulatory system.

### 2) Objectives

1. The main objective of this act is to govern the mental capability index/ Ree calculator. It helps to accomplish the computation and formation of scale to measure.
2. It is an attempt to measure, compute, calculate, and creating a numerical value to the mental capability on the basis of knowledge that human gathered, generated, and produced. The numerical value so produced are either based on reality or estimation that science has made, or basis of creation and creativity type that human can offer as a knowledge to the society with its variety of use. The point so given is justified by the section 4 of the act.
3. The objective shall always have the companion rule of getting betterment to the society of a human. It shall never serve the biased rule to discriminate, pulverise, appoint any of the human as dominator or disguise the world to inferiority/superiority.
4. The objectives serve the seeking of human progress one step closure to new attainment and attempt to make compatible computation, which is readable, understandable, explanatory to the human of human mind potentiality.
5. The measurement illustrated, shall attain, and include all human behaviour, doings over the knowledge.
6. The objectivity of measurement doesn't guarantee 100% accurate measurement of mind (referring it doesn't give cap to the potential), instead it allows the attempt to measure and discloses the possibilities still to come in terms of mind and mind doings.
7. The objective to calculate the capability of mind allows a person with disability with certain criteria mentioned in section 4 of the act.
8. It states that a mind and mind behaviour is complex, limitless, countless, explain less act which may not be fully experimented and subjected to account with science. For example, Norms and values, belief, and God. So, the objective of this

act shall value the mind with the help of Observant “five sense of organ” and respondents.

**3) Naming and criteria of name:**

1. The name of the calculator shall be either “Mental Capability Index” or if the first name is disguised and in bias situation because of constraints of the calculator not being surveyed before use, then it shall be prevailed with the name “Ree Calculator”.
2. The name cannot be replaced, altered, or renamed by any other name in any condition than these two-name stated in subclass 1 of section (3).

**4) Operation Ree pointer schedule guidelines:**

1. The operation of calculator or index shall be accredited by the pointer of different knowledge of use. This knowledge shall be pointed based on existence and scalable existence.
  2. The operated pointer shall give the points to the different knowledge with the help of total knowledge gained from the learning, mental age of an individual or subject, experience attained and the production of the knowledge from the one’s mind which is unique.
  3. The pointer of the index or prospected index will allow any activity of mind and its influence as a potential Ree carrier.
  4. The significance of mind through activity, influence, participation, involvement, full time, part time, as wish or any kind shall have existed, happened on reality to give points toward such influence. Any doing of mind which occupies all the observants and respondents are carrier of the basic pointing system.
  5. The sole mind shall have to prove themselves in the form of examination, with proper existence, stability, and reality in the field of their concern. No mind shall be given point according to their sole thought within themselves which is unexpressed and put to communication.
- I. Sole thought states thinking process, which is unexpressed, untold, not referred, not scalable.
- II. Their thoughts shall have to take any form in the form of art, work, study, research, experience, creativity, writing, solicited, and if unsolicited then the carrier shall be examined at the time of index.
6. All manual knowledge of input shall be pointed according to the Assessee capability of using the manual information, picking a right choice and assimilate the sought knowledge which he/she can claim as it is one of their bases.

7. Every experience with life experience shall be counted along with the skill and reflexes with it. Further, add on extent of knowledge by the help of experience shall be counted as Automated Knowledge of Input.
8. Every Automated Knowledge with its creation and invention shall be segregated and using the scale of its use, it shall be pointed with the determination of act of a person to complete the whole invention.

**5) Ree point classification scale scheduling:** This section illustrates points accreditation to the terms of mental capacity in response to knowledge which an assessee mind have achieved and put to use. There are several conditions where an individual will carry the points subject to their knowledge carrier.

1. Manual knowledge of input carrier carries the knowledge stimuli and put to use knowledge over the life span of an individual who is assessed. The assessed knowledge will be given a point (REE ACCREDITATION) with the help of criteria in relation to the completion, field decision, adjustment, reflection of the knowledge over the period of assessment. Their manual knowledge can also be assessed by the help of the past records and evidence of their achievement.
2. Experience input of knowledge shall be assessed on the basis of mental age of people and their involvement over various circumstances and professionalism. The life experience also holds point accreditation and scalable existence capacity that mind presented on their life experience. The observants and respondent's presence also hold the point of normality and in absence of them will allow an individual with the fraction of total normality number of observants and respondents of human divided by the number of observants and respondent's presence with the disabled assessee.
3. Automated knowledge of input has two segment of point accreditation. They allow the exponential form of calculation of the index.
4. All pointers are cumulative in nature and shall add every point arising from the additional knowledge of a person.

**6) Multiplier adjustment:**

1. There are three multipliers for the indexing sub-formulas or Ree calculator sub-formulas. They are:
  - i. Manual Multiplier
  - ii. Experience Multiplier
  - iii. Automated Multiplier
2. the formula for the multiplier shall be:
  - i. ***Manual input multiplier = automated input multiplier/ experience multiplier***
  - ii. ***Experience multiplier =  $\frac{\text{no.of yrs experienced by human in earth}}{\text{no.of yrs experienced by living energy}} \times \text{life expectancy rate of human}$***
  - iii. ***AM=  $\frac{1}{\text{total no.of species}} \times \frac{\text{total no.of years earth existed without life}}{\text{total no.of years earth existed with life}} \times \text{total no.of yrs human existed on earth}$***

3. The experience multiplier is adjusted in a way to fulfill the gap of experience of genetic memory of an individual or subject to rectify the error. An individual transparency over the adaptation to the environment on the basis of existence and affirmed existence.
  4. The Automated multiplier is adjusted to give the multiplier a number which signifies the human dominance over all living beings by the doings of energy to give birth of a unique mind.
  5. The Manual multiplier is only a division of an experience multiplier by automated multiplier, signifying the fact of manual knowledge has been accredited by the given knowledge.
  6. The formulas of multiplier, if deems necessary, shall be altered, changed, reinstated, remake, in accordance with the amendments of section 19.
- 7) **Formula:** Notwithstanding anything contained in the act, the formula of the index or calculator shall be denoted by  $\overline{\mathfrak{K}}$  and the propounded formula shall be along with other sub formulas:
- 1) Mental Capability Index ( $\overline{\mathfrak{K}}$ ) =  $(IMKOI + IEP)^{(IAKOI+k)}$
  - 2) **IMKOI = experience input multiplier/ automated multiplier** [  $\left( \frac{\text{average expected life}}{\text{mental age}} \right) \times \text{potential manual point accredited}$  ]
  - 3) **IEP = EIM** [  $\left( \frac{\text{mental age}}{\text{average expected life}} + 1 \right) \times (\text{EXP at given age on skill basis} + \text{life experience})$  ]
  - 4) **IAKOI = Automated input multiplier (new add on extent pointer + automated input pointer)**
- 8) **Manual check inclusion:** The assessment for which the point accreditation is run hereunder shall have to be checked and controlled by the manual inclusion.
1. Inclusion of manual check shall have to appoint the area of expertism (expert/ first assessor) to assess an individual and his/her exact motive of knowledge and wisdom to be assessed without any personal bias, undue influence, and coercion.
  2. Apart from first manual assessor led by the section (8)1, there shall be one third party inclusion to evaluate the work of the Assessee (who is known as second assessor) signifying the plot that the point accredited by the assessor to the Assessee is enthusiastic and in frame. This third party shall assess the work or idea of an individual either in digital or in live view without the presence at the front to avoid the multiple bias over the subject of assessment. In addition to that, he/she shall have to assess the score given by the first expert who is/was assessing the individual at presence.
  3. The manual checklist shall have to be different for the first assessor to evaluate the overall work or an idea of the Assessee for the purpose of computation.
  4. The third-party inclusion (Second Assessor) shall have one more checklist to rectify the error of point accreditation or extra notes that the point given by the first assessor is reliant and relevant to the work of an Assessee. If not, then the checklist signifying the error and real point is to be shown and framed to the judgement so that the point shall be multiplied by the weight given for the third-party assessment.

5. The inclusion of both checklist and the assessor shall grant the point accreditation according to the weight of the assessor checklist by 0.6 and 0.4, the first assessor and second assessor respectively.
- 9) **Computation of capability index/ Ree calculator: The computation takes charges with three major knowledge segments and the points carried by them. This section states the point carried by them and evaluation of the points explained.**
  1. The manual knowledge of any entrant who have achieved manual knowledge by 5 observants and 5 respondents shall be equal to 1. Any entrants participating on the computation showing their ability of manual knowledge being disable at the same time shall be multiplied by 10/ total no. of observants and respondents. For example: entrant having disability of not having an eyesight, his manual knowledge point shall be multiplied by 10/9. Here, total no. of observants s/he holds is 9. So, the fraction shall vary with no. of disability one has and the effort point increased by his/her will to add manual knowledge to his host mind.
  2. The experience input for any entrant who has achieved by normal body shall be equal to 1. Any entrants participating on computation with disability, his/her experience points are lagged by the disability as the disabled absence of any observant or respondent restricts the experience to be complete. So, the input point shall be multiplied by; no. of observants and respondents/10. For example, if a disabled person not having an eyesight, then his experience input shall be multiplied by 9/10. The fraction varies as the no. of disability arouse.
  3. The automated knowledge is not affected by the disability. Any automated knowledge delivered by any person is assumed to have produced by the host mind which is only feed by the observant and responded by the respondents. The host mind is only affected if a person or an individual is lunatic or in disorder state, at both states' person is not allowed to participate.
  4. If a person or entrant is born disabled then the states explained by subsection 1, 2 of section 9 shall abide him/her fully.
  5. If a person or entrant was born normal but become disable at some age then, both manual knowledge and experience point shall be segregated to two different parts by the percentage of age he/she was normal and other age where he/she goes disable. Then disable portion is multiplied by the fraction stated in subsection 1, 2 of section 9.

For example, A entrant is in Ree computation having, assuming.

- Experience Ree point = 3
- Manual input = 4
- Automated input = 0

The entrant was disabled (lost his eyesight) when he was 10 years old, and he is 40 at the time of computation.

So, the experience and manual knowledge input shall segregate into two portions.

Normal point:

$$EI = 3 * 0.25 = 0.75 \text{ (0.25 is portion of 10 on 40)}$$

$$MKOI = 4 * 0.25 = 1$$

After Disability point:

$$EI = 3 - 0.75 = 2.25$$

$$MKOI = 4 - 1 = 3$$

So, disability point shall be multiplied by fraction stated in subsection 1, 2 of section 9.

$$EI = 2.25 \times \frac{9}{10} = 2.025$$

$$MKOI = 3 \times \frac{10}{9} = 3.33$$

This makes the adjusted Ree pointer:

$$\text{Adjusted EI} = 0.75 + 2.025 = 2.775$$

$$\text{Adjusted MKOI} = 1 + 3.33 = 4.33$$

The AKOI is not affected by the disability.

**10) Ree pointer sheet:** This section states the base point and point calculation method with the help of all knowledge possible and is to bring the knowledge capability into the index. All the **Ree** pointer are held liable to the human mind by following stated subsection.

**1. Assumption to the Ree base point:**

- a. Each of the knowledge input is credited by the base point which are subject to impact the external working of a person. The base point shall not change in any circumstances and are fixed in nature.
- b. It is assumed that with the AKOI and EI, the MKOI was formed and still in progress. So, the value which shall denote the knowledge according to the pervasiveness to the owner's mind is referred by the point.
- c. It is assumed that MKOI and EI will be at increasing rate till the death of a person and has been acknowledged by less Ree than AKOI.
- d. The AKOI which brings drastic change to the developments, innovation and are significant to the knowledge history is accredited with higher points.
- e. The base **Ree** points are given as the mind phase of a person starts to grab with the accumulation of whole knowledge. So, according to the human capability to the knowledge, it is believed by the theory that perceiver ability to grab the knowledge and its form grows with the growth of life. The first dependability of perceiver knowledge is MKOI which is credited with base **Ree** 1, then the perceiver starts gaining experience accredited with base **Ree** 2, and last but the tremendous opportunity of possibility, AKOI is the third phase of a perceiver which is credited by base **Ree** points 3.

2. **“Point to graduate” calculator:** If a person is graduated and comes with the certificate to calculate his/her mental capability then it shall be judged with following formula:

$$\text{Total Ree points to study} = \text{no. of years involved in study} \times \text{MKOI base Ree point}$$

(Here, MKOI base point is equal to 1 **Ree point**)

Further, a person has to go with the checklist made to make sure of the point given by this subsection. A checklist shall define the final points of the formula derived.

It is believed that every normal human mind of his/her generation with abundant information all around shall have the equal opportunity to grab the possible MKOI from the external environment. So, based on these circumstances, a person will be accredited by the no. of years he/she has put their observants and respondents to study and gain the manual input of knowledge.

- 1) Notwithstanding anything contained in this act a person brain development also plays a role to obtain the MKOI from the external environment. So, based on these proofs, if an "Assessee" is under brain development; their percentage of brain development must be accessed from the expert's prescription and same percentage shall have to multiply the formula accredited manual point.

**= Total manual Knowledge points acquired × percentage of brain development (For example, 50%)**

- 2) It is noted that if a person is not attending any types of coach, study in formal and non-formal way and is allowing himself to modify along with the environment (Noted, a family coaching by his/her parents is also regarded as input to the MKOI). Then, it is deemed that he has not got any MKOI even after that he is able to speak and do some activities is a result of existence experience Input. So, to have MKOI points; a person shall have the knowledge of social, science, cultural, behavioural and knowledge of any kind from which he/she can have substantial change.

3. **Life experience point:** This point befalls under Experience input (EI) while computing and have allowable base point is 2. So, it is given with following formula.

**Life experience points= base point EI (at the beginning)**

**Also, it is assumed that a person shall grab additional EI point at each quarter of his expected life. For example, beginning 2, first quarter is cumulative to 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> addition.**

This point is for survival capacity of a mind and is surviving with the opportunity to grab the possible experience from the external environment.

So, for the formula purpose, the life experience point shall be 2. If an assessor already multiplied the life experience base point by formula given above, then it shall be added separately to the formula.

4. **Skill experience Point:** Skill experience point deals with all skills, certification, talent, license points where a person shall deliver his/her expertise as per the role and allow them to become flexible in the external environment.

- 1) if a person has same skill set given by his/her study, then the experience point shall be accredited with following formula:

**Graduate experience follower= EI base point × no of years' experience after graduation.**

- 2) If a person has certificate which shall allow him to do the semi-skilled and skilled job and founded as short courses, then those certificate (less than one-year tenure) with skills shall have to be given with following point:

$$\text{Short Course pointer} = \frac{\text{MKOI base point} + \text{EI base point}}{2} \times \frac{\text{no. of months study}}{12 \text{ months}}$$

This formula is applicable to those who has study their normal study programme and has additional certificate for skill shortage.

- 3) If a person has not studied, his school and college level and only have the short course foundation then the formula shall be:

$$\text{Short Course pointer} = \text{MKOI base point} \times \frac{\text{no. of months study}}{12 \text{ months}}$$

- 4) if a person has studied short courses more than one year, then it shall be computed same as **subsection 2 of section 10**.

It is believed that they have the same expertise of skilled and semi-skilled and can perform the same on demand. The checklist shall be rewarded with excellent performance to obtain full points calculated by the above formulas.

- 5) If a person has any license not relating to his/her study field, but it shall be awarded:

$$\text{a) License Ree Point} = \frac{\text{MKOI base point} + \text{EI base point}}{2} \times \text{no. of licenses possessed}$$

Any license which comes along with the skill-based years long study shall not be rewarded by this formula. It is assumed that study-based license like (For example: Auditor, pilot etc.) comes with the study points. This point is given in demand to motivate the add on skill points to the license and grooming extra activities.

If a person majored his/her experience with license mentioned above. Then so experience computation shall be majored with one license experience and awarded with following formula:

$$\text{b) Experience with License point} = \frac{\text{MKOI base point} + \text{EI base point}}{2} \times \text{no. of years' experience}$$

(\*Note: the total no of years shall not exceed seven years.)

It is assumed that person who shall obtain the experience point from the formula **5(b)** will get the license point from **5(a) under certain circumstances**.

- 6) if a person without any study is involved in a work and attain his work with practice and experience then:

$$\text{a) Experience without study pointer} = \frac{\text{EI base point} - \text{MKOI point}}{2} \times \text{no. of years' experience.}$$

- b) If a person has attained experience with qualifiable work accreditation and excellence/success then with same condition mentioned in section 6(a),



**Experience without study pointer = *EI base point* × no. of years' experience**

(\*This pointer shall go through the checklist inclusive of various condition)

7) If a person has ability to use the manual given knowledge and information which may or may not be “used to” him/her. Then, it shall be **carrying total 6 points** entitled to the base Manual knowledge of input of a person. This is because s/he has used all competent experience and automated knowledge to use all the given manual input which is not created by his/her own mind.

$$\mathbf{6\ points\ derived = MKOI + EI + AKOI = 1 + 2 + 3 = 6}$$

Here, this person has to go with assessor plus checklist and has shown his/her sheer capability to use any information, make use of it in any manner which shall be fruitful.

- 8) If a person has ability to perform some extra activities which is unique or may be physical or mental signifying a record, then it shall carry one of the following:

**a) Physical ability point = no. of unique ability × MKOI/ EI**

Here, if a person has ability which is based on MKOI or EI then, s/he shall acquire points according to the same which shall be assessed by the assessor. If the same person has the ability gained from both MKOI and EI then the average shall be multiplied by no. of unique ability.

- 5) **Add on extent of knowledge:** It is the average of **EI and AKOI** which gives the Reepoint of a person in between 2 and 3 points and shall be recognised on the base of **AKOI**. The computation of this point shall be held when a person has sought normal ideas which has improvised the overall idea and uplifted the use of MKOI and EI. This shall be calculated as follows:

- If a person has attained his normal or superior improvisation of the ideas followed by the experience, then this shall be given with the points:

**Normal Add on extent = Average of EI and AKOI**

Notwithstanding anything contained in this act, if a person has attained only an idea which has no significant generation to the work and its performance then, it shall be equal to the **6-point derived following the base of MKOI**. Whereas the superiority improvisation shall be one of the intact abilities of idea and experience which can help in the performance and advancement. For example, use of batteries and car for the first time makes out electric car is a best example of add on extent of knowledge even if a person has not invented the car and battery on the first stake.

- 1) **Automated Knowledge of Input Pointer (AKOI):** This is a firsthand idea and knowledge generator pointer which has the total 3 points at a time following the base of AKOI. The Idea shall be owned by the founder intellectual right and shall demonstrate any activities on demand. The creation of wheel and axle on first stake. The first telescope and so on are the best example. It also includes an idea and rules on first stake which has become a first vision to the world of knowledge. For example, a first language, a first numeric and any other ideas from which the knowledge and knowledge branches can prolong to the infinity.

- 2) There may be possibilities when an Assessee has number of potentials which can obtain points through times of MKOI, EI, and AKOI. Then, the same shall be cumulative to the base of section.
- 3) If a person has extraordinary abilities which cannot be examined and given with the above formulas, then the assessor with third party involvement shall assume a base idea of pointer and shall foresee the new way of giving points to the “Assessee” which shall have to be on the ground of MKOI, EI, AKOI. The then sought method shall be case law or rules to the latter.

**11) Parties involved:** For the purpose of computation and completion of the Ree calculator, it is indispensable to have parties involved in the arena of assessment and inaugurator of the assessment. The main parties involved in the assessment are the organisation which holds the right to use of formula, the “Assessor” who assess the work or an idea of the individual participating on the computation. The “Assessee”, the person who takes participation. And, the third-party disclosure, who also works as an “Assessor” to make a transparent point accreditation to the assessment.

1. **Organisation holding the right to use the formula:** Organisation who holds the rights in accordance with the section 13 of this act is the main programmer of the assessment and will hold the “Invitation to participation of Assessee” and “Certification right” to the user. Users are known as the participator who are participating the assessment. Also, the organisation will certify the assessor with “Certification of Respect” who are included in the assessment having an area of expertise and experience so stated in *sub-section 3 and 4 of section 11*.
2. **Assessee:** Any person/individual can be the assessee who holds the normal active mind and can perform the assessment as per the supervision and guidance set by the organiser. The willingness of an individual shall have to be stated in the application as per the regulation set by the organiser.
  - I. A person who holds the normal mind either child, adolescent, adult and aged can be the participator or Assessee.
  - II. A person with disability can also enter the participation according to the rule set forth by the disability guidance to the point accreditation.
  - III. A person who is unable to either perform an assessment with their own state of mind shall not take the participation or assessment. (For example: person with multiple personality disorder, lunatic person, alcoholic person)
  - IV. Exception to the *clause III of the sub-section 2 of 11*, a person who is alcoholic and multiple disorder shall take participation with their state of mind where they can perform with normality and is not in the state of mind unconscious.
3. **Assessor:** A person who is appointed by the company who holds the right to use of formula of Ree Calculator for the purpose of assessment is known as the assessor of the assessment (or a self-assessment application may be available in general as a self-assessor data input). He/she may be either inside of the organisation or from outside the organisation who is willingly taking a consideration with the company for assessing the computation on their area of expertise.

- I. A person who is an assessor shall willingly take participation to abide the rule of the Ree Calculator following the rules of the company.
  - II. He/she shall know that their area of expertise should be used to assess the Assessee performance.
  - III. He/she shall have full knowledge of third-party disclosure that a third party is assessing as Second Assessor to the work of Assessee and first assessor. He/she shall undertake the assessment with knowing and they are fine to the work is being assessed by the other assessor.
  - IV. He/she shall have same area of expertise to the expertise of the performer or Assessee.
  - V. He/she shall know the validation of the point accreditation set forth by the clause **V of subsection 4 of section 11.**
  - VI. He/she should be at least 35 years of age and least of 5 years of experience in the field to become the assessor.
- 4. Third Party Disclosure; Second Assessor:** A second assessor shall be a person who is in the same field and in same area of expertise to the assessment of related Assessee and Assessor.
- I. A second assessor should give an unbiased opinion over the work and performance of an Assessee.
  - II. He/she shall deem to know that the first Assessor shall have the weight of **0.6** and his/her input shall have 0.4 weight to their decision point accreditation.
  - III. In addition, to the assessment, he/she shall give the opinion over the assessment made by the first opinion is not biased and is correct and done in good faith.
  - IV. If it deems that the second assessor has given the opinion about the first assessor is biased and not apprehend to the assessment then, it might conclude a biased judgement made either by first assessor or the judgement shall seek the opinion to the point accreditation forming a mutual judgement between two assessors with equal weights.
  - V. If a mutual judgement and point accreditation can't make a validation to the point of judgement by either the disagree of first or second Assessor, then the points accreditation given by the second assessor shall be weighted by the full weight of accreditation and will be final to the judgement.
  - VI. He/she shall be at least 35 years and shall have least 7 years of expertise to the field of concern.
  - VII. He/she shall assess the work and performance of the Assessee mentioned according to the **subsection 2 of section 8.**

**12) General acceptance of calculator to use:** The computation of mental capability index and Ree calculator is sole idea of a person which shall have to accepted by the rules of the territories and international wide. It shall be generally accepted by the public and government of territories to compute their people index. If any territories don't give permission to the index or calculator then it shall be

represented as the work of art and design for illustration to attempt the numerical way to value, the mind of people in theory only. It shall be presented in theoretical research which has attempted to calculate the value of mind.

1. If any territories give permission to the use of Mental capability index, then the organisation who works nationally or internationally taking the rights to use of formula can operate the index and indexing process to that area of territories.
2. While computing the calculator over the territorial background, an organisation shall assume the basics of territories to be worked in the calculation. For examples; life expectancy rate.
3. If any territories who haven't given a proper permission over the use of index/calculator, then an organisation based on that country who is willing to operate index over the general public shall have to seek permission from the governing bodies of the country.
4. If any country who seems to have not permitted to use of calculator over the public, then the patent right of formula can't be taken into effect for that specified country and an organisation shall have to terminate the right to use of formula over the country unless the country's government states otherwise.
5. If a country permitted over the use of the formula to the general public, then the performing organisation shall also get permission from the individual who are willing to take participation over the index and form a temporary contract signed for the given index of use and including the regulation of the organisation following the agreement.
6. If an individual is a minor and willing to take participation over the use of formula and become a competent, then the guardian of the minor shall sign the contract following the acceptance over the use of index to a minor individual.
7. If a person is willing to take participation who has multiple personality disorder or any other disease which shall fluctuate the decision of the competent. Then, they shall sign the contract of willingness of participation when they are fully conscious and shall have read the terms and condition along with the agreement.

**13) Rights and Rights to use:** This section states the primary and secondary rights along with the rights to use.

1. The primary rights go in the hand of the founder who first claims or created the claiming right of the formula and work. Despite, right to use, the founder can disclose, explain, elaborate, and disseminate to use the formula according to the will of his. He has the power to amend, alter, form, and transcend the formula and its legislative parts.
2. Secondary rights are the rights which has been disseminated by the founder to the latter in the form of use and rights to use with consent or consideration forming a valid contract as per the agreement of parties. Secondary rights could be:
  - I. Patent Right
  - II. Copy Right
3. Despite being rights to use, both secondary rights to use, stated by the sub-section 2 of section 12 shall have to be followed by the organisation or company whose objective is to take the respective rights to use. Any company or organisation despite in bias objective cannot use both rights at a time. A company using one right cannot claim the other right to use by the rights hold by them.

- I. If a case arouses a situation, where rights used by a company is biased by the other rights used (Copy Vs. Patent), then the later from whose action the rights of the former has been biased shall have to stop doing the action. Instead, if a user needs any or partly help of the other user then, both rights holders shall have to work and help on the criteria of use.

**14) Breach of rights:** This section states about the breach of secondary rights by the concerned party who agrees or take part in the contract to use of the rights.

1. If a person or a party who is entitled to use of rights provokes, deceive, alter the whole or part of the work and formula, then it shall have breached the rights from the day dated to which he/she has done so.
2. If a person or a party who have agreed to work with the rights but hasn't formed any contract, then the work is not valid (*Void ab Initio*).
3. If a person or a party who has entered the contract for the use of secondary rights, then any work or action which has gone beyond the contract is breach of rights.
4. If a person or a party who has entered the contract and hasn't met the criteria explained in section 12, then it is deemed to have breached the rights.

**15) Rights concealment cause and procedure:** This section illustrates the power of the founder who has propounded the formula and work, concealing the rights to use at various extraordinary cause. The concealment may be either partly or fully according to the situation arouse while using the formula or work.

1. If any territorial areas which legislation is not giving the permission to the use of work wholly or partly then the same shall be concealed as per the rules given by the legislation.
2. If any rights which has been obtained or achieved by undue influence, coercion, or any other biased nature from the latter then, so rights can be concealed by taking legal action.
3. If any rights have been taken without knowledge or with partial knowledge of the founder, then the founder at any time can conceal, sue, file a case against the latter.
4. If any rights or work has been used against the societal welfare, formulas used to prove dominance over the inferior people or individual or group, then, founder at will, at any time can sue, file against the user and at any time, or he may dispose the work or formula in legal way.
5. If any holder of the rights, breach the rights after making a contract, as per section 14 of this act. Then, a founder can conceal the rights or take away the holder holding capacity.

**16) Terms to use:** This section states user information sector and other related statements when parties are agreed to get involvement in the work, performance of the calculator.

1. All parties who are involved or willing to take involvement in the performance or trying to purchase, consider the rights shall have to seek information, opinion not only from the Act, but also from any published reference which are produced for general to explain, elaborate the use of formula and index. The Act

only, may not provide sufficient details to the formula and its use; so, any published items, software, application, news articles on the subject matter shall have to be detailly considered for the purpose of the study.

2. The Right holder who are considering for performance or work prioritised by the formula shall have full knowledge about the whole formula and consented that any record obtained from the formula shall not be used otherwise to take any indirect advantages or sale of any information.
3. The Right holder shall take rules into account to maintain the privacy over the information given by each participator and cannot retain the information if any entrants ask to remove their information from the data bank.
4. All entrants, related Assessor, holder of the rights, are liable according to the section 17 of this act.
5. All the related parties to the use and performance of this Act shall not go beyond the objective of this act stated by the section 2. They shall not perform, work against the use of this act stated by section 2.

**17) Liability of Parties involved:** The parties involved stated by the section 11 of this act shall have their separate liability towards the work and performance of the Ree Calculator.

- 1. Liabilities of the right holder:** It shall be the prominent act of the right holder who shall act as a performer of the Ree calculator and gives out every intact to provide with the assurance of the work. Their indispensable liabilities are:
  - i. They shall work as a performer to work out, calculate the formula and shall provide all the rules and regulations to the Assessor and Assessee according to their organisational objectives in line with the objectives of this act.
  - ii. They shall form the competition, exercise to practice the Ree Pointer, assessment games to help guide the Ree pointer to extract the knowledge of a participator as the Ree Act hasn't provided the assessment games and competent rules to achieve the point.
  - iii. They shall appoint the Assessor by checking the eligibility and capability of the assessor and form a contract with them for the performance or any other offer in accordance with their needs.
  - iv. They shall invite the general applicants, participators, entrants giving a general invitation which has equal opportunity to all of them without any discrimination, racism, nepotism over the participating guidelines.
  - v. Any loss or expenses regarding the performance shall have to be borne by the right holder despite the collection of fees and charge at certain stake.
  - vi. They shall have the right to certification and Certification of Respect to the eligible Assessor and Assessee.
  - vii. They shall be responsible for any permission needed to be granted by the territories or any needed pass.

**18) Disputes and settlement:** If any disputes and quarrel occur while computing the Index, then organisation who is holding the rights to compute and perform shall have to stand as the mediator of dispute settlement; if any.

1. If any dispute occurred while computation and performance of the work then the related parties have rights to file a complaint against the latter to the immediate supervisor or Assessor who is working through the process of

assessment, including all the facts and information where there is a bias and unsatisfactory result or so on. Here, the organiser shall have to give first unbiased and settled decision looking at all the facts and information regarding dispute.

2. Any dispute related to any parties involved shall be to be worked out from the section, sub section and clause mentioned in the Ree Act.
3. If any person who is still unsatisfied by the decision of settlement made by the organiser shall have the rights to sue, file a complaint against the organiser or any parties involved in the act of work and performance to the legal bodies of the state.
4. If a plaintiff has filed a case against the respondents including organiser or any individual who are included in the case, they shall have full rights to defend themselves with correct and true information so held.
5. If any dispute which arouses as the matter of fact which goes against the ethics of mental capability index, then the organiser can with full rights file a case against the individual or parties who are inside the work of performance or outside the work of performance stating the unlawful acts or judgement or unethical works made against the Mental Capability Index.

**19) Amendments:** This section defines about the amendment regarding the rules of the mental capability Index or ree calculator and ethical regulations made by the Ree Act 2021. So, any amendments regarding the general rules of a computation and performance shall have to be regulated by this section.

1. The founder of the mental capability index has solemn rights to amend, change, alter, erase any of the act which seems outdated, needed to get amended and altered with charge to the new conditions apply.
2. Only the founder has full rights, if deems necessary, can amend, or change a part of the act to renovate, update the mental capability index or so on.
3. If any state or territorial laws applies the rules over the Ree computation that it must amend any or part of the Act according to the will of the territorial rules and regulations, then the organiser who is acting as the performer of the Computation over that region shall have to give full knowledge to the founder and shall alter the act if it seems applicable to do so. The same altered is preauthorised only in the given state for the purpose of Computation.
4. If any individual who has keen interest to the mental capability index and shall have sought a different remedy to any constraints in computation of the work. The person at his/her own will, must communicate it to the founder along with his/her identity and area of expertise. Then, so given information and solution to the constraints of the activity, if a founder deems it necessary and a person who has given a solution is found to have identity and his/her purpose found to have in good faith to the computation; then so given advise and information will be given a place to amend the update and shall form a part of the Act with His/her name as a contributor to the given section or Sub-section.
5. A founder at his will can appoint any person as heir of this act and holder of the rights of this index before his retirement or death.

**20) Future inclusion and theoretical person prediction inclusion:** A theoretical person means a person who have an influencing character or abnormal mind which a normal individual may not possess. So, there may be a time over where a mental capability index may have to assess such person and give with acceptable Ree point. It is a simple prediction over the future that there might be a person in future who have excess amount of energy and intelligence who shall have the power of

mind to see through the past, present, and future. Or there might be similar person who may not have that exact capacity but may have abnormal or influencing mind which gives out the fact of existence of such mind on the earth.

1. If a person who has the capacity to see through the mind over the present time and space without using any normal communication method however using its own observants and respondents which allows them the perfect and accurate vision of anywhere in the world with present details (for example, **live details**) being at some point. Then, the person is deemed to have **10,000 Ree Points added on the base of EI**.

**Such Assessment shall have to be done by using scientific method and use of evident to the user manual.**

2. **If a person who have the capacity to see the past, present, and future with 100% accuracy will be given the place of Ree Pointer of INFINITY in the computation of Assessment. This is a place of Trisected Mental Capacity of a theoretical Person. The maximum time of this assessment shall be 3 months for the purpose of evident of assessment to achieve.**
3. A theoretical person may or may not exist and subject to illusion. If not illusion, then the theoretical person may have expected automated use of knowledge over respondents at full capacity.

**21) Base Reality, science, and values:** This section specifies the base reality and subject figures may fluctuates or differ according to the territories and specific region. For example, life expectancy rate.

1. When it comes to the value of scientific figures and expected figures, then the act has no clue and doesn't specify the expectation of certainty. So, one shall refer to the base value and scientific figures from citation or experimentation as secondary data. For example, expected life of an earth.

**22) Use of evident to existence and non-evident to existence:** Existence is a capacity which holds the truth and evidence of happening towards proof. However, our mind can generate fake or non-happening event or totally not introduced figure over the generation. It is a produce of mind which may not seems to be good but that is a solitude of a mind generation which is achieved in terms of lie, wrong information. Given that the use of this huge process on a right direction is also marked and shall have to be given a point to make it happen. Where a produced which has a capacity of non-happening came to an existence of happening shall be marked as work of a mind. So, any non-evident to existence which gives an implication over living and happening shall be marked as work of a mind.

**23) Ree pointer Checklist:** Checklist are formed to repatriate the points to Assessee with surety of the conduct made by the Assessor. It helps not to be mistaken and not to be short in any manner of the term and performance.

- 1) The main work and points counted are checked by the list of terms given by the subsection 3 of sec 23.
- 2) If an Assessor (including second assessor) need to make out specific checklist to back the check and performance, then he/she on their expertism may arrive with explanation with the need of checklist for that specific purpose. The same shall be used as reference for the latter.
- 3) The checklist are as follows:



## Sec 10 (2) point to graduate calculator.

- ☐ If there is a valid certificate for the year
- ☐ If there is a calculable no. of years can be drawn
- ☐ if there is one or more than one certificate
- ☐ if there is higher degree certificate
- ☐ some assessment where Assessee is crossed with normal question and relevant answers are drawn on the given knowledge of certificate. (For example; engineer being asked for some information of his knowledge over the performance by the experts)

### Extra notes:

A self-assessment application may be available in general as a self-assessor data input.

## Sec 10, 4 (2)(3) Short course Pointer:

- ☐ total additional no. of certificate with course timeframe (taking extra notes).
- ☐ if a person shows the exact same or similar work performance which has additional benefit to the performance.
- ☐ if the performance is accredited or can be foreseen for good or attempt for good motive.
- ☐

### **Sec 10, 4 (6) Experience without study Pointer:**

☐ no. of different experiences without study which is significant of use and is valid on given market and changing environment. This has to go with assessment and extra notes to be taken.

☐ Experience that can work without any government license and can be competitive in market. (Note that: if an experience which cannot be legalized without any government authorities and person shows the same trait cannot be finalized as experience and shall not form experience to be counted on this subsection.)

☐ if the performance is accredited or can be foreseen for good or attempt for good motive.

☐ Experience which is forbidden and has never been organized to certified and commercialized. (If any for example, occult practice, medical practice, ancestor remedies, performance and so on; it has to go with extra notes which is mandatory to have access of 3<sup>rd</sup> check has been attempted). This check is not compulsory for those normal experience which are not forbidden and usual.

**Extra notes:**

### **Sec 10, 4 (7) Six point derived:**

☐ Extra notes: why the trait is assumed as six-point maker?

☐ MKOI used with significant hold of making expert decision or exerted difference.

☐ if the performance is accredited or can be foreseen for good or attempt for good motive.

☐

## **Sec 10, 4 (5) license Pointer:**

☐

total additional no. of license with course timeframe (taking extra notes).

☐

if a person shows equivalent skills, effort to make work and create work possibilities. Equivalent skill may differ according to the experience and time spent by a person after getting the license.

☐

if the performance is accredited or can be foreseen for good or attempt for good motive.

### **Sec 10, 5 Add on extent of knowledge:**

- ☐ The person is highly experienced and has potentiality to give out extent of knowledge.
- ☐ The person accepts that his/her traits is attended as Add on extent of knowledge.
- ☐ The person has accumulated simultaneous amount of MKOI.
- ☐ The person has attended the assessment given by the Assessor.
- ☐ The Assessor has recorded significant traits of Assessee to give out the title of Add on Extent of Knowledge.

**Extra notes:**

### **Sec 10 (6) Automated knowledge of input:**

- ☐ The person has created his/her own ideas to make a use (extra notes).
- ☐ The Assessee, Assessor, and third-party involvement (second Assessor) accepts that his/her traits are attended as Add on extent of knowledge.
- ☐ The idea has never been used before.
- ☐ There is involvement of extra MKOI and EI (if any, state with extra notes)

- 24) Limitation to the index/ calculator:** Since the Calculator is a vague and broad subject of study, it is very hard to compute by one person. To form an index, it needs to take sample of work to the associate territorial region and must conclude some figures.
1. The index is costly and time consuming along with highly skilled resources are taken to use.
  2. It is complex addition to the knowledge and purpose of study to human mind which gives a vague conclusion over the mind and mind doing.
  3. When it commences the work of performance to the general public, it may lead to competition and may an environment of domination, so this might be hard to control.
  4. Without the use of second hands and resources of technological kind, it may be impossible to create a data of study by the work of formula only. Meaning, it is depended on scientific base, technological advancement to take data (for example, software's, apps, experimentation, estimation made by the science).
  5. It is a continuous process. So, any work or rules may be outdated as the time and human evolves to the upcoming generation. This needs a continuous update.
  6. It has some assumptions and relating to the emotions and doings of mind which may not be exact to the work of science and logic (example, mystery).
  7. The Assumptions may not give a proper base to the points of knowledge. For example, each carrying base points by the base source of knowledge i.e., MKOI carrying 1 point, EI carrying 2 points and AKOI carrying 3 points. These base

points are only assumed with hierarchical points of 1, 2, 3 with the help of their significance in one living.

8. At the time of finding (the date when the index formula raised to exist), the index has not been proofread, escalated for general use, certified, recognised and guaranteed. So, any criticism over the index may be useless unless it has been certified and taken as way to obtain the data as a statistical use.
9. Since, the liminary vision to the use of index, it has limited formula and its use according to the theory; it has given some flexibility to the Assessor to make up with the formula, checklist, and other indexing possibilities in the need of Inclusions over the performance.

**25) Application form:** This application section shall denote any of the major application process which shall include the following:

- 1) The application form shall include:
  - i. Name
  - ii. Address
  - iii. Contact
  - iv. Age
  - v. Sex
  - vi. Guardian (if minor or person with permanent disability)
  - vii. Objective to participate.
  - viii. Skills specification
  - ix. Achievement
  - x. Education
  - xi. Other extra skills, traits
- 2) The application shall also include terms and conditions relating to the Ree Act and the organisational rules and regulations holder by the former.
- 3) It shall include the Applicant understanding and acceptance to the use of information and other relating to the work and performance before going through the Assessment.
- 4) It shall also include the government Acceptance and declaration over the work with stamp or any other signified signature on anywhere of the application.
- 5) Notwithstanding anything contained in the act, the organiser shall produce related documents (other than application form) including third party assessment checklists and other major documents to record the full collection of data.

## REFERENCE:

**Routledge, Bohm, Jenks, Chris (1994). "Thought as a system", The University of London:**  
[https://books.google.com.au/books?hl=en&lr=&id=9Q-3WN0LajsC&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=thought+&ots=BYhA8ZLyPo&sig=lCRGnSDkCy2A2mvmakNWQY7Fhr8&redir\\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=thought&f=false](https://books.google.com.au/books?hl=en&lr=&id=9Q-3WN0LajsC&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=thought+&ots=BYhA8ZLyPo&sig=lCRGnSDkCy2A2mvmakNWQY7Fhr8&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=thought&f=false)

**Simon, (1979). “Model of Thoughts”, Yale University Press:**

[https://books.google.com.au/books?hl=en&lr=&id=GGuJD-nuPOAC&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=thought+&ots=mBqdN8cBpo&sig=H2\\_QvygatYlZB7wmdaVCn-L5pC0&redir\\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=thought&f=false](https://books.google.com.au/books?hl=en&lr=&id=GGuJD-nuPOAC&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=thought+&ots=mBqdN8cBpo&sig=H2_QvygatYlZB7wmdaVCn-L5pC0&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=thought&f=false)

**Sutherland, Daigle, (1998). “Atmospheric Sound Propagation”, Handbook of Acoustics:**

[https://books.google.com.au/books?hl=en&lr=&id=1x\\_RvffW-hcC&oi=fnd&pg=PA305&dq=sound+propagation&ots=-24QL9XFAV&sig=8g69kwf7Sf0QO0cBtfV2XFGxgrU&redir\\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=sound%20propagation&f=false](https://books.google.com.au/books?hl=en&lr=&id=1x_RvffW-hcC&oi=fnd&pg=PA305&dq=sound+propagation&ots=-24QL9XFAV&sig=8g69kwf7Sf0QO0cBtfV2XFGxgrU&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=sound%20propagation&f=false)

<https://www.worldometers.info/demographics/life-expectancy/> Life Expectancy by Country and in the World (2021) - Worldometer List of countries and dependencies in the world ranked by life expectancy at birth, both sexes, males and females. World Population Life Expectancy with historical chart

<https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/evolution/library/faq/cat06.html#:~:text=How%20long%20has%20life%20existed,nearly%204%20billion%20years%20ago.> Evolution: Frequently Asked Questions Frequently Asked Questions About Evolution

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History\\_of\\_Earth](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_Earth) History of Earth - Wikipedia History of Earth From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia Jump to navigation Jump to search This article is about scientific evidence concerning the history of Earth. For the history of humanity, see <https://www.nature.com/articles/news.2011.498#:~:text=Most%20precise%20estimate%20yet%20suggests,80%25%20of%20species%20still%20undiscovered.&text=There%20are%208.7%20million%20eukaryotic,give%20or%20take%201.3%20million.>

En.wikipedia.org. 2021. Intelligence quotient - Wikipedia. [online] Available at: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intelligence\\_quotient](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intelligence_quotient) [Accessed 17 August 2021].

En.wikipedia.org. 2021. Cattell–Horn–Carroll theory - Wikipedia. [online] Available at: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cattell%E2%80%93Horn%E2%80%93Carroll\\_theory](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cattell%E2%80%93Horn%E2%80%93Carroll_theory) [Accessed 17 August 2021].

## **Inventor Introduction:**

Name: Ashok Deuja

Contribution: volume “Puny Thought” & “The Next Level of Knowledge”

Degree: master’s Graduate

Email: [starashok187@gmail.com](mailto:starashok187@gmail.com)

Invention ownership: 100%

